Applying DOAS and an Active Chilled Beam System for Optimizing Energy Efficiency at Clemson University AMRL



Photo by Fred Martin

Clemson University Advanced Material Research Laboratory Anderson, SC

Architectural Engineering Senior Thesis Report

David Anderson Mechanical Option

Thursday, April 12, 2007

Clemson University Advanced Material Research Laboratory

Anderson, SC

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Cost: \$18.5 Million Size: 111,270 square feet Project Delivery Method: Design-Bid-Build Construction: Completed July 2004 Stories: Two Function: Research Laboratory Occupancy: Offices, Research Laboratories, Cleanroom, Conferencing

ARCHITECTURE

Modern exterior including brick and metal galvalume panels with a Kynar finish

Interior walls are gypsum drywall finish

Uses clearstory daylighting for laboratories

STRUCTURAL

Steel frame with steel truss 1st floor SOG with isolation for vibration

2nd floor concrete on metal deck

Braced frames for seismic vibrations



DAVID ANDERSON



PROJECT TEAM

Owner: Clemson University GC: Manhattan Construction Company Architect: IDC Architects Interior Designer: IDC Architects Civil Engineer: IDC Architects Structural Engineer: IDC Architects MEP Engineer IDC Architects Landscape: Arbor Engineering

LIGHTING/ELECTRICAL

480Y/277V, 3Φ, 4W service from (1) 2500 kVA transformer, (5) 30-112 kVA transformers to 208Y/120V, 3Φ, 4W service (1) 300 kW stand-by generator Various lighting including flourescent, drop-lighting, and wall washers

MECHANICAL

- (2) 3,348 MBH gas fired boilers
- (1) 4,094 MBH electric boiler
- (3) 750 gpm, 266.6 ton chillers
- (2) 1,125 gpm, 375 ton cooling towers
- (15) AHU units ranging from 1,000-11,300 cfm
 - VAV boxes in lobby and office spaces
 - (4) MAH units ranging from 6,800-20,650 cfm

MECHANICAL OPTION

HTTP://WWW.ARCHE.PSU.EDU/THESIS/EPORTFOLIO/2007/PORTFOLIOS/DJA173/INDEX.HTM

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING

Table of Contents

Thesis Abstract	2
Table of Contents	3
Acknowledgements	4
Executive Summary	5
Project Background	7
Building Systems Overview	9
Existing Mechanical Systems	13
Design Considerations	21
Mechanical System Design	20
Chilled Beam Analysis	24
Lighting Analysis	
Construction Impact Analysis	35
Overall Cost Analysis	
Conclusions	41
References	43
Appendices	45

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank several people who have encouraged and helped me through the Senior Thesis Project and the Architectural Engineering program here at Penn State. First, I would like to thank my family. My parents, Tom and Marcy, as well as my sister, Brihanna; without your continuous support, none of this would be possible. You have helped me get through the good and the bad with your loving care, and helped me strive for excellence. You have also taught me to never give up. Thank You.

Secondly, a special thanks to the faculty and staff of AE. Through the last five years here, you have given me opportunities to learn from some of the best in the industry while showing your love for the work you do. You have presented challenges in order to shape a better engineer. Thank you for your support and dedication throughout the learning experience here at Penn State.

Finally, thank you to IDC Architects and Engineers along with Clemson University for allowing me to analyze their building. Without your knowledge and materials, my research would not have been possible. A special thanks to David Groseclose for taking time out of his busy schedule to answer questions and for supplying documents to my thesis.

Executive Summary

This report studies the proposed DOAS and Chilled Beams System for optimizing energy efficiency at Clemson University AMRL. It also evaluates the lighting compliance and re-analyzes the lighting systems. Along with computing calculations, there are initial cost break downs and yearly simulation data. This reports intent is not to differentiate IDC's design, but to illustrate other systems and their energy savings.

A number of programs, references, and documents were used in this report to compute and compile information. One of the programs used is Carrier's Hourly Analysis Program (HAP). This program was used to compute the yearly energy consumption of the AMRL. The building's existing Chilled Water VAV system's yearly energy consumption is \$8,738,251. The proposed parallel system of DOAS with Active Chilled Beams had a yearly energy cost of \$5,968,853. With a \$2.7 million decrease in yearly costs, this constitutes a 31 % reduction in energy consumption. After running calculations, it is found that most of the building's sensible loads are met by incorporating 788 active chilled beams in the building, with DOAS taking care of all the latent loads and a small fraction of sensible loads. Not only does this decrease yearly cost and size of AHU's, but also drastically decreases environmental impact.

In accumulation to the depth analysis, breadth work was done in the lighting and construction options. In the lighting breadth, over half of the spaces had an excess in wattage according to ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2004. These areas of the building were re-designed to decrease wattage/sq. ft. while maintaining adequate lighting. This allows a decrease in yearly energy consumption and it also lowers environmental impact. In the construction management area, I analyzed the initial costs of the current Chilled Water VAV System and compared it to the proposed DOAS with Active Chilled Beams. It is approximated that a VAV system costs \$12/scfm, whereas a DOAS with Chilled Radiant Colling Panels (CRCP) costs a mere \$8/sq.ft. The new proposed system will be more cost effective, costing \$1.17/sq.ft less than the current system. Other items analyzed are the impacts of schedule due to different systems and an increase in pumps and plumbing equipment.

Project Background

Project Name: Clemson University Advanced Materials Research Laboratory

Location: Anderson, SC

Occupant: Clemson University

Size: 111,270 sq. ft.

Number of Stories: 2 Above Grade

Primary Project Team: Owner: Clemson University

Architecture Firm: IDC Architects

Lobby at Clemson AMRL

Persons To Be Credited: John Henderson, Nathan Corser, Barbara Springer, Magda Gerencer, David Groseclose, Joe Simpkins, Tony Neal, Katrina Cobb

Contractor: Manhattan Construction Company

Landscape Architect: Arbor Engineering

Interior Designer: IDC Architects



Completion Date: July 2004

Cost Information: \$18.5 million

Project Delivery Method: Design-Bid-Build

*photos by Fred Martin

Clemson University's Advanced Materials Research Laboratory in Anderson, SC is the first LEED Silver certified facility in South Carolina and the only certified nanotechnology research lab in the United States. A checklist from the U.S. Green Building Council's LEED for New Construction was used to evaluate the building in all aspects. Clemson University ARML proposed 38 out of 62 credits. The certification goal for this project is Silver. The project proposed credits in the following categories: Sustainable Sites – 9, Water Efficiency – 4, Energy and Atmosphere – 4, Materials and Resources – 4, Indoor Environmental Quality – 12, and Innovation and Design – 5. Clemson University's AMRL was given Silver Certification after review, receiving 33 out of 69 points.

Building Systems Overview

Building Envelope:

The building has a structural steel frame, with interior finish steel studs and a gypsum dry wall finish. Its exterior materials are brick and metal panels. A

typical section is shown in figure 1. The metal panels are Galvalume metal panels with a Kynar finish. Standing seam metal roofing is Galvalume with Kynar finish. Windows are a mixture of aluminum storefront and curtain wall. There is a single ply membrane roof over the mechanical equipment. ASHRAE



building envelope and lighting systems used in the building, not the mechanical energy performance. This standard requires no more than 50% of the building envelope to be glass. Clemson ARML meets this requirement with only 15.9% fenestration.

Electrical:

The building is served by 408Y/277V, three phase, 4 wire service from one 2500kVA Transformer. The system also includes five 30-112 kVA transformers which step the service down to 208Y/120V, three phase, 4 wire service. There is also one 300 kW diesel fired stand-by generator for emergency energy.

Mechanical:

The cold water system feeds fifteen air handling units, three of them having VAV's, and 4 MAH units serve Clemson AMRL. The units conditioned air to offices, laboratories, conference areas, and clean rooms. The MAH's were needed to supplement the vast amount of exhaust air from the fume hoods. VAV's were prominent in the office areas, due to conformability issues. The mechanical system also includes cooling towers, chillers, and boilers, all to be discussed in the "Existing Mechanical Systems" section to follow.

Structural:

The building was designed for 50 psf in office areas and 30 psf in mechanical spaces. The snow load was designed for 14 psf. Wind loads were used in design with a wind speed of V3a (90 mph). The ground level is comprised of 5" concreted slab with 4x4 welded wire fabric (WWF) on 2" base of sand with 6 mil poly vapor barrier over 6 " aggregate base on compressed soil. The elevated floors are comprised of a 2-1/2" slab on a 1-1/2" deck. The concrete is 4000 psi with a normal weight of 150 pcf. Floor slabs were placed with WWF in flat sheets, not rolls. In re-entrant corners for pits and recesses, (2) #4 bars were used for reinforcing. In sector A as seen in Figure 2, many beams and girders were

used in constructing this building. Sector A had W21x44, W12x22, W12x16, W21x44, W18x35, and W16x31 beams bolted to W24x62,



Figure 2: Building Sections

W24x55, and W24x68 girders. Sector B had W8x13, W14x26, W16x31, and W24x55 beams bolted to W36x210, W36x245, W36x280 and W36x300 girders. Finally, sector C includes W12x14, W14x22, W14x30, and W18x35 beams bolted to W18x50, W36x280, and W36x300 girders. Finally sector D is comprised of W12x16 and W 12x19 beams bolted to W8x13 and W12x19 girders. The columns of the building were comprised of W18, W16, and W14 attached with moment connections for seismic and wind loads.

Fire Protection:

The building is equipped with 1 hour shaft enclosures and fire partitions. The entire building is outfitted with sprinklers and a fire alarm system. A Honeywell FS-90 DGP is used to match the existing university system. The building is not resisted to fire through walls nor floor construction. The shaft enclosures and fire partitions are presented by UL with design numbers U465 and U906 respectively. Transportation:

From Figure 2 previously shown, Sector A contains one elevator two stairwells. One stairwell is open to the lobby as it ascends to the second floor. The second stairwell is located in the west end show in Figure 3 below servicing the office spaces. There is one other stairwell which is located in Sector D in Figure 2 and also shown below in Figure 3. This services transportation to the upper level penthouse region.

Also shown below in Figure 3 is how the spaces are designated on the first floor of Clemson AMRL. The orange spaces represent laboratories; the yellow areas

represent laboratory support. The off white spaces are shared spaces and circulation. The blue areas are offices spaces and the gray areas belong to the support/services.



Figure 3: Building Operations

Existing Mechanical Systems

Clemson University AMRL is a two-story mixed use building located in Anderson, SC. This 111, 270 sq ft. building houses office space, laboratories, conference rooms, and clean rooms. There are 15 AHU's and 4



MAH's that condition this building. The mechanical system uses an on site heating and cooling plant to condition air for the AHU's and VAV distribution system. AHU numbers 8, 10, and 11 serve VAV boxes which supply air to the spaces. A vast majority of the mechanical equipment is located on the second floor penthouse, where there is a small space allocated on the east wing for additional equipment. Clemson University ARML, due to its many laboratories, requires a lot of mechanical equipment. In design, they used mostly an entire floor to house the mechanical equipment. With this, the total area of the



mechanical space on the second floor is 31,841 sq. ft. After calculating the areas other than the mechanical floor space, such as the draw tower and first floor mechanical room, there is a total of 35, 626 sq. ft. of lost rentable space. Out of 117,000 sq. ft, 30.4 % of this area is given to the mechanical equipment.

The AMRL is served by 19 units, ranging from 1,000 cfm to 20,650 cfm. The amount of minimum outside air to the AHU's varying between 300 to 20,650 cfm. As noted before, the rooms serviced by AHU 8, 10, and 11 serve VAV boxes to control the climate which people occupy.

Clemson AMRL uses two 3,348 MBH gas fired boilers and one 4,094 MBH electric boiler. There are three 750 gpm/266.6 ton chillers and two 1,125 gpm/375 ton cooling towers. The air supplied to the building is from the fifteen AHU's ranging from 1,000-11,300 cfm and four MAH units ranging from 6,800 to 20,650 cfm.

Mechanical System

-Air Handling Units/ Make-Up Air Handling Units

Fifteen total air handling units, three of them serving VAV boxes, and 4 MAH units serve Clemson AMRL. They provide conditioned air to offices, laboratories, conference areas, and clean rooms. MAH units were needed to condition the additional space since an abundance of air was exhausted through the fume hoods. Appendix A shows the design SA and OA along with the calculated V_{ot} from Technical Report 1.

AHU-1

Air-Handling Unit 1 services 2,568 sq. ft. which houses conference/meeting, corridor, and office spaces. The design primary supply flow rate was 4,000 cfm. *AHU-2*

Air-Handling Unit 2 services 2,968 sq. ft. which houses break rooms, corridors, restrooms, and office spaces. The design primary supply flow rate was 4,500 cfm.

AHU-3

Air-Handling Unit 3 services 3,228 sq. ft. which houses communication rooms, corridors, restrooms, conference, and office spaces. The design primary supply flow rate was 5,700 cfm.

AHU-4

Air-Handling Unit 4 services 2,876 sq. ft. which houses conference/meeting areas, corridors, and office spaces. The design primary supply flow rate was 5,200 cfm. *AHU-5*

Air-Handling Unit 5 services 4,128 sq. ft. which houses laser labs and instrument rooms. The design primary supply flow rate was 6,300 cfm.

AHU-6

Air-Handling Unit 5 services 4,128 sq. ft. which houses laser labs and instrument rooms. The design primary supply flow rate was 5,800 cfm.

AHU-7

Air-Handling Unit 7 services 5,080 sq. ft. which houses office spaces and corridors. The design primary supply flow rate was 5,000 cfm.

AHU-8

Air-Handling Unit 8 services 5,314 sq. ft. which electrical and data, break, seminar rooms, along with corridors and the lobby space. The design primary supply flow rate was 10,600 cfm.

AHU-9

Air-Handling Unit 9 services 5,312 sq. ft. which houses prep labs, electrical analysis rooms, along with other assorted laboratories. The design primary supply flow rate was 11,300 cfm.

AHU-10

Air-Handling Unit 10 services 5,005 sq. ft. which houses conference and office spaces, along with a break and copy/mail/storage room. The design primary supply flow rate was 7,000 cfm.

AHU**-**11

Air-Handling Unit 11 services 5,630 sq. ft. which houses office spaces and corridors. The design primary supply flow rate was 7,100.

AHU-12

Air-Handling Unit 12 services 1,828 sq. ft. which houses office spaces, a HAZ MAT room, corridors and equipment rooms. The design primary supply flow rate was 3,100 cfm.

AHU**-**13

Air-Handling Unit 13 services 1,752 sq. ft. which houses a clean room, a gowning vestibule, and device lab. The design primary supply flow rate was 1,600 cfm.

AHU-14

Air-Handling Unit 14 services 528 sq. ft. which houses the STM lab and its respectful prep room. The design primary supply flow rate was 1,000.

AHU-15

Air-Handling Unit 15 services 1,232 sq. ft. which houses the maintance room and office space along with the draw tower. The design primary supply flow rate was 6,.800 cfm.

MAH-1—4

See Appendix B for the supply air values for these units supplying the laboratory spaces.

-Cooling Towers

Two 375 ton, 1,125 gpm cooling towers are located on site. The cooling towers provide condenser water for the 15 AHU's.

-Chillers

Three 266.6 ton, 750 gpm chillers are located at the AMRL.

-Boilers

Two 3,348 MBH gas fired boilers and one 4,094 MBH electric boiler are located at Clemson's AMRL.



-Energy Recovery Coil

Clemson's AMRL uses three energy recovery coils on the air side portion of the system. They are located in fume hood exhaust ducts to recuperate energy They provide cooling for the condenser water loop from the cooling towers and heat for the hot water loop from the boilers.

-Variable Air Volume Boxes

The conditioned air from AHU 8, 10, and 11 is ducted to several variable air volume (VAV) boxes, which are located in the ceiling plenum. The boxes are selected for specific ranges of cfm. There are also different gpm rates for the hot water reheat coils to each of the VAV boxes.

-Basic System Operation

Clemson's AMRL contains of both air-side and water-side mechanical equipment and systems. The air-side consists of AHU's, MAH's, and VAV boxes. An example of the air-side schematic is shown in Appendix C. The water-side operation consists of a hot water system and a condenser water system. The hot water system is shown in Appendix D and the condenser water system is shown in Appendix E.

-Outdoor and Indoor Design Conditions

-Outdoor Design Conditions:

The 2004 ASHRAE Fundamentals handbook provides weather data in Chapter 27. Table 1 below shows the location data. Table 2 illustrates the design outdoor conditions

Latitude	34.50		
Longitude	82.72		
Elevation	771 feet		
Tahle 1:Locatoin			

Summer Condition	ons	Design Dry Bulb:	93 °F
Winter Condition	ons	Design Dry Bulb:	19 °F
	Tabl	e 2:Desidgn DB	

-Indoor Design Conditions

Table 3 below illustrates the indoor design conditions.

Dry Bulb Temperature:	74 °F
RH:	50%
Table 3:Indoo Requiremen	r Air ets

Design Considerations

-Co-Generation

Co-generation is one alternative to the design of Clemson AMRL building. A building using co-generation makes electricity of site, and thus less energy loss due to transmission. The heat from the burning fuel, can be utilized and help heat the building.

-Ground Source Heat Pumps

Ground source heat pumps were also taken into consideration. With the climate allowing for such a design, this would also be a great benefit to the owner. Since the building takes setting in a non urban environment, this also makes this design appealing. Similar to a GSHP, one could use water source heat pumps and store water in a large tank underground to help with the load of the building.

-Thermal Storage

Thermal storage was another alternative to the design to help decrease energy costs. One could produce ice in the evening, when utilities are cheaper, and store it for the following day for cooling. Energy recovery wheels are also an option in design. The only downfall is that desiccant wheels can only be used to the laboratory spaces. Enthalpy wheels are able to recover energy and moisture since they mix the exhaust and supply air streams. A desiccant wheel does not transfer contaminants. Instead, the wheel is flushed with supply air in the purging section of the rotor.

Mechanical System Design

Rising costs in fossil fuels and energy must make owners and designers aware of more efficient and greener systems. In order to obtain the energy reduction in the existing building, chilled beams incorporated with DOAS will be one of the options used in this study.

-Introduction

There are two different chilled beams, passive and active. One can incorporate a chilled ceiling with overhead or under floor ventilation, and or chilled slab with under floor ventilation. With overhead ventilation, there is a limited capacity to absorb heat gains. Under floor ventilation has improved capacity to absorb heat gains and excellent in comfort conditions. A chilled slab with under floor ventilation has a cooling capacity up to 70 W/sq. m (6.5 W/sq.ft.). Exposed lighting is required with this type of set up.

- Passive versus Active

Passive chilled beams have a chilled surface formed into a linear finned coil, which is surrounded by a pressed steal casing. These are able to be suspended from the ceiling, with flush mounts also available. Warm air rises to the ceiling and enters the top of the beam, where it is cooled by contact with the cold coil. The cool air then descends into the room through slots underneath the beam.

Active chilled beams incorporate tempered ventilation air supplied through ducting in the beam itself. The tempered air leaves the supply ducting through slots with a higher velocity that induces warm air into the beam and through the cooling coil, reducing its temperature. A simple schematic is shown in Figure 4. The supply and chilled room air mix and enter the room out of the slots under the beam. With active chilled beams, room temperature is achieved by controlling of individual or groups of beams. They have a cooling capacity up to 100 W/sq m (9.29 W/sq.ft.) and also have integrated lighting as option and can be fully recessed.



The advantages of chilled beams include low maintenance since there are no internal fans or filters. Low fan speed is used to deliver air to the outlet diffusers which in return, have a low energy requirement. The chilled beams also operate at relatively high chilled water flow temperatures, meaning the chillers have to do less work than for a fan coil system. Expect a CWT of 55-65°F in chilled beams, compared to 40-45°F They can be incorporated into the fire suppression system to eliminate extra plumbing, and some chilled beams contain everything from lighting, data lines, and fire suppression systems.

Energy recovery wheels will also be incorporated into the re-design. The only downfall is that desiccant wheels need to be purged in order to utilize laboratory

exhaust. Enthalpy wheels are able to recover energy and moisture transferring it between exhaust and supply air streams. A purged desiccant wheel does not transfer contaminants. Instead, the wheel is flushed with supply air in the purging section of the rotor as shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Desiccant Configuration

Using active chilled beams in the redesign process, there isn't any significant issues present. Space is available for extra equipment, but coordination with plumbing will be a must for the spaces due to the units. There will be a decrease in AHU sizing along with the associated ductwork.

Chilled Beam Analysis

Background:

Dedicated Outdoor Air Systems, DOAS, are becoming widely known and used in the industry. DOAS systems are usually integrated with a parallel system. The parallel system used in the analysis is Active Chilled Beams. Using a DOAS system not only reduces the duct, shaft, and equipment sizes, but also drastically reduces fan energy, increases humidity control, and decreases initial costs. The entire latent loads are met by the DOAS along with some of the sensible loads. The remaining sensible heat is to be conditioned by the active chilled beams. An enthalpy wheel along with a desiccant wheel will be used in the design to maximize heat recovery.

DOAS supplies cool dry OA to the system. This ensures that active chilled beams can be applied without condensation concerns. As long as the panel temperature remains above the space dew-point temperature, condensation will not occur. . Figure 6 below shows the air flow of the active beam, along with the high

induction diffusers. With a lower room dew-point temperature, the lower the supply water temperature can be. Similarly, a lower mean plate temperature would allow a higher rate of heat removal. Radiant loads are treated directly and the supply air does



not exceed that required. Remember that the lower the SAT, the less sensible cooling will be needed by the beams. Another advantage is that it could be incorporated into the automatic sprinkler piping system.

Calculations:

As shown in Figure 7 below, the set-up of the Dual Wheel DOAS system is illustrated. Below are calculations based on points across the system.



Figure 7: Dual Wheel DOAS

Example using AHU-1, All numbers are included in Appendix F

Latent Load:

Occupancy = 20 people for AHU-1

 $Q_{latent} = 200 Btu/h*person (0.0586 kW/person)$

 $Q_{latent} = 200Btu/h*person x 20 people = 4000 Btu/h (1.172 kW)$

Outdoor Air Conditions:

Dry Bulb = $93 \degree F$

Humidity Ratio = 118 gr/lbma (0.01689 kg/kg)

Desiccant Wheel:

Make: Xetex

Model: AIRotor 2500

Sensible Effectiveness: $\epsilon_S=0.76$

Latent Effectiveness $\varepsilon_S = 0.65$

Volumetric Flow Rate 10,280

Face Velocity: 525 sfpm

-Points of Interest (Figure 7)

Space Air Conditions (Point 4):

Dry Bulb Temperature = $79 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{F}$

Humidity Ratio = 66 gr/lbma (0.0094 kg/kg)

Supply Air Temperature: 74 °F



Design Conditions (Point 1):

$$\begin{split} W_{OA-EW} &= -\epsilon_S \; x \; (W_{OA} - W_{RA-SW}) + W_{OA} \\ &= - \; (0.76) \; x \; (0.01689 \; kg/kg - 0.0094 \; kg/kg) + 0.01689 \; kg/kg \\ &= 0.011198 \; kg/kg \; (78.39 \; gr/lbma) \\ DBT_{OA-EW} &= -\epsilon_L \; x \; (DBT_{OA} - DBT_{EW-SW}) + DBT_{OA} \\ &= - \; (0.65) \; x \; (93^\circ F - 74^\circ F) + 93^\circ F \\ &= 80.65^\circ F \end{split}$$

Design Conditions (Point 3):

Q latent = $0.68 \text{ x cfm x } \Delta W$

$$W_{SA} = W_{RA} - \left(\frac{Q_{latent}}{0.68 \times cfm}\right)$$

$$= 66 \text{ gr/lbma} - \left(\frac{102,800 Btu / h}{0.68 \times 10,280 cfm}\right)$$
$$= 51.29 \text{ gr/lbma} (0.00733 \text{ kg/kg})$$

 $DBT_3 = 72^{\circ}F$

Design Conditions (Point 2):

$$W_{B} = \frac{-Q_{latent}}{0.68 \times cfm} + W_{Space}$$
$$= \frac{-102,800Btu/h}{0.68 \times 10,280cfm} + 66 \text{ gr/lbma}$$
$$= 51.29 \text{ gr/lbma} (0.00733 \text{ kg/kg})$$
$$DBT_{2} = 67 \text{ }^{\circ}F$$

Selecting Quantity of Chilled Beams

Taken from the article "Ceiling Radiant Cooling Panels as a Viable Distributed Parallel Sensible Cooling Technology Integrated with Dedicated Outdoor Air Systems" by Dr. Stanely A. Mumma and Christopher L. Conroy, is the process used to determine the amount of CRCPs per square foot.

Define Room Design Conditions

Dry Bulb Temperature: 74 °F

Relative Humidity: 40-60%

Room Dew Point Temperature: 54°F

Minimum Rate of Heat Removal Needed

The table in Appendix G is taken from the article mentioned above. The amount of heat removal is based on the room's dry bulb temperature and

RH. The following shows RH and heat removal respectively:

 $40\%~RH \rightarrow 95~W/m^2$

 $60\% \text{ RH} \rightarrow 32 \text{ W/m}^2$

Sensible Cooling Served by Chilled Beams

Building Area: 111, 270 sq ft.

Occupancy: 514 people

Combined Sensible Load: 3 W/sq. ft.

Building's Total Sensible Load:

 Q_{total} = 3 W/ sq. ft. x 111,270 sq. ft.

= 333,810 W (1,139,294 Btu/h)

Outdoor Air Supply: 20 scfm/person

Ventilation Air:

M-dot = 20 scfm/person x 514 people

= 10,280 scfm

Sensible Load Achieved by DOAS

 $Q_{DOAS} = M$ -dot x Cp x ΔT

Q_{DOAS}=10,280 x 0.244 x (93-54) °F

Q_{DOAS}= 97,824 Btu/h

Sensible Load Achieved by Chilled Beams

Q_{Beams}= Q_{Total} - Q_{DOAS} Q_{Beams}= 1,139,293 Btu/h- 97,824 Btu/h Q_{Beams}= 1,041,469 Btu/h

Selected Chilled Beam

TROX—DID300 @ 76 $W\!/m^2$ with L_n of 3000mm

Beam Coverage:
$$\frac{Q_{DOAS}}{Q_{BEAM}} = \frac{1,041,469btu/h}{24.10\frac{btu}{hft^2}}$$

Total number of Chilled Beams:

$$\frac{BeamAreaCoverage}{AreaOfBeam} = (\frac{43,214.48}{54.896}) \text{ sq. ft.}$$

Re-Sizing of AHU's

Due to using chilled beams with DOAS, the beams were able to take care of the sensible load in the building, therefore reducing OA with the DOAS. Table 4 below shows the difference in size from the original scheme to the new DOAS scheme.

AHU #	Original SA(cfm)	Design OA(cfm)	Vot (cfm)	DOAS Resized OA (cfm)
1	4000	1800	324	594
2	4500	1800	257	594
3	5700	1800	462	594
4	5200	1800	317	594
5	6300	6300	1784	2079
6	5800	5550	1784	1832
7	5000	400	399	132
8	10600	1050	737	347
9	11300	3350	1167	1106
10	7000	800	561	264
11	7100	550	600	182
12	3100	2550	276	842
13	1600	1100	365	363
14	1000	300	275	99
15	6800	2000	253	660
Totals	85000	31150	9561	10280

Table 4: AHU Information





Lighting Analysis

Background:

The lighting in Clemson AMRL is comprised of 24"x 48" florescent fixtures with parabolic and lens troffers. Fixtures include T8, T5 lamps using rapid start ballasts, and down lights incorporating quad compact fluorescents inside the building. Metal Halides provide lighting on the exterior. The use of low voltage lighting is not permitted at Clemson University, due to the university's specification 16501, "Interior Lighting".

Lighting Compliance

Maximum lighting power densities are suggested by Standard 90.1. Not only does lighting consume energy, but it also creates heat in the space, which in return increases cooling loads. Table 9.5.1 makes suggestions on the maximum lighting density according to each space. Appendix H shows this table.

According to the lighting calculations given by IDC, the calculated W/ft^2 are as

Area	W/sq. ft.
Office	1.10
Office	1.32
Office	1.50
Office	1.68
Prep Lab	1.44
Lab	1.68
Lab open	1.85
Corridors	0.82
Corridors	0.83

Area	W/sq. ft.
High Bay	0.65
Haz Mat	1.08
Waste	
Storage	1.95
Mech	0.39
Mech	0.40
Mech	0.43
Mech	0.49
Mech	0.55

Table 5:W/sq ft Calculations

By the space by space calculations and in accordance to Appendix D, all spaces exceed except the corridors, an office area, the high bay area, Haz Mat, and the mechanical rooms.

It may be possible to achieve the same amount of output, with less energy, by selecting high efficient T5 lamps to replace the standard T8 lamps. There is a possibility that the spaces could be supplied with fewer lamps and still achieve the same lighting requirements. T5 lamps tend to be more expensive than the T8 lamps, but life expectancy is far greater and thus gives a better life cycle cost. Replacing the existing 40 Watt T8 with a 32 watt T5 lamp shows a reduction in power density, thus decrease in energy. Table 6 below shows the results after analysis.

			W/Lamp	#
Space	Area	W/Lamp	proposed	Lamps
Office	3200	40	32	106
office	2600	40	32	98
Office	3400	40	32	143
Prep				
Lab	4100	40	32	148
Lab	3500	40	32	147
Lab				
Open	4200	40	32	194
Waste	600	40	32	29

Watts	Watts proposed	Power Density	Power Density proposed
4224	3379	1.32	1.06
3900	3120	1.5	1.20
5712	4570	1.68	1.34
5904	4723	1.44	1.15
5880	4704	1.68	1.34
7770	6216	1.85	1.48
1170	936	1.95	1.56

 Table 6: Proposed Lighting Densities

The total reduction in the lighting densities was significant. The original lighting scheme reached 41, 155 watts for the building. With the proposed re-design and decreasing the areas in need, the watts achieved were 34, 243 watts. This results in a 20% reduction in energy usage alone.

Construction Impact Analysis

The installed cost of a CRCP system is approximately \$8/sq.ft. For the VAV system to handle the same loads, the AHU's alone cost about \$2/scfm, the ductwork an additional \$4/scfm and the VAV boxes at \$6/scfm. The total amount for the VAV system is approximately \$12/scfm. Which after running calculations and interpolating them with Table 7, we are to find that there will be \$129,840 decrease in initial cost. Also shown in Appendix I is a sample of the reduction of costs comparing VAV with a DOAS in a 186,000 sq. ft. building in Philadelphia, PA.

SHV 1003 HVAC		3,024,000
SHV 1010 heat/cooling equipment	700,000	
SHV 1020 AHU/MAU	300,000	
SHV 1030 reheat coils	110,000	
SHV 1040 dehumidification	100,000	
SHV 1050 exhaust fans	70,000	
SHV 1060 ductwork	400,000	
SHV 1070 piping and supports	520,000	
SHV 1080 pumps	24,000	
SHV 1090 Phoenix Control System	400,000	
SHV 1100 insulation	350,000	
SHV 1200 LEED Commissioning	50,000	

 Table 7: Installation/First Costs

The initial cost was \$3,024,000 shown above in Table 7. The price for the chilled beam units is approximated to be \$2,764,000. This is 81% of the cost of a standard VAV system. Keep in mind that replacing fan with pump energy, the initial \$5,658,000 system will begin to look appealing.

Schedule impact was minimal since the same amount of time is required during the erection of a CWP CAV/VAV system as with a DOAS with Chilled Beams. With DOAS, AHU's will be smaller and ductwork will be significantly less. The only possible impact would be the extra pump installation or contractor unfamiliarity with the proposed system. Since this type of system is more abundant in Europe and Australia, and not here in the United States, finding contractors that are familiar and comfortable with this design may be short. Contractors may also apply a premium cost with such a design. Coordination would be very similar on the CW and Air sides, with similar equipment.

Overall Cost Analysis

The design cooling and heating loads for the major equipment are calculated using Carrier's Hourly Analysis Program. HAP is used to estimate the annual consumption of energy for the AMRL as well.

Carrier's HAP was used to simulate and model the Clemson AMRL's energy

consumption. In order to compute this, weather conditions were properly selected

for the buildings site. HAP inputs the correct weather data for the design and

simulation city, which was from 2001 ASHRAE Fundamentals Handbook.

Energy Sources and Rates

The Clemson AMRL is serviced by both electricity and natural gas energy. The electric service is provided by Duke Power. The rates can be seen in Figure 8. The natural gas service is provided by Piedmont Natural Gas. The rates can be seen in Figure 9.

PATE-					
AAID.					
I.	Basic Facilities Charge		\$33.54		
П.	Demand Charge A. On-Peak Demand Charge pe For the first 2000 KW of Bil For the next 3000 KW of Bil For all over 5000 KW of Bill	r month ling Demand per month ling Demand per month ling Demand per month	Summer Months June 1 – September 30 \$13.16 per KW \$11.67 per KW \$ 9.40 per KW	Winter Months <u>October 1 – May 31</u> \$7.69 per KW \$6.40 per KW \$4.74 per KW	
	B. Economy Demand Charge per month		\$1.01 per KW	\$1.01 per KW	
III.	Energy Charge A. All On-Peak Energy per month B. All Off-Peak Energy per month		4.3937 cents per kWh 1.7336 cents per kWh	4.3937 cents per kWh 1.7336 cents per kWh	
DETERMINATION OF ON-PEAK AND OFF-PEAK HOURS					
			Summer Months June 1 – September 30	Winter Months October 1 – May 31	
	On-Peak Period Hours		1:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m. Monday – Friday	6:00 a.m. – 1:00 p.m. Monday – Friday	
	Off-Peak Period Hours		All other weekday hours and a	ll Saturday and Sunday hours.	
		Figure 8—Duk	e Power Costs		

Rate	Facility		Rate/Therm		Rate/Therm
Classification	Charge	Units	November/March	Units	April/October
	250.00	First 15,000	1.19349	First 15,000	1.12654
Demand (Therm)	1.90	Next 15,000	1.13290	Next 15,000	1.08143
		Next 75,000	1.08558	Next 75,000	1.05278
		Next 165,000	1.04020	Next 165,000	1.02163
		Next 330,000	0.99909	Next 330,000	0.99409
		Over 600,000	0.97052	Over 600,000	0.97052

Figure 9—Piedmont Natural Gas Costs

The following are charts computed from Carriers HAP. These charts are related to the original VAV system. Table 8 shows the annual costs of the VAV system, whereas table 9 shows the annual costs of a DOAS with Chilled Beams

application.

Component	Sample Building (\$)
Air System Fans	1,379,405
Cooling	925,442
Heating	7,019
Pumps	88,394
Cooling Tower Fans	932,159
HVAC Sub-Total	3,332,418
Lights	2,389,184
Electric Equipment	3,016,649
Non-HVAC Sub-Total	5,405,833
Grand Total	8,738,251
Table 8. Annual	Costs VAV

Component	Sample Building (\$)
Air System Fans	69,342
Cooling	229,434
Heating	3,354
Pumps	169,355
Cooling Tower Fans	115,958
HVAC Sub-Total	587,444
Lights	2,378,390
Electric Equipment	3,003,020
Non-HVAC Sub-Total	5,381,410
Grand Total	5,968,853
Table 9. Annual C Chilled Be	osts DOAS w eams

As shown in the above Tables, there is a \$2,769,398 savings per year in operational costs. Which translates to a 31% cost reduction yearly.

The following pie charts represent the comparison of annual component costs for VAV systems versus DOAS with Chilled Beams. Figure 10 represents the VAV system, whereas Figure 11 represents the parallel DOAS.





-Life Cycle Analysis

As stated previously, the initial cost of the existing system was \$3,024,000. Carrier's HAP simulated the yearly energy consumption to be \$8,738,251. The new proposed DOAS with Chilled Beams had an initial cost of \$2,764,000 for the beams and \$2,894,160 for the remaining equipment, which totals \$5,658,160 for an initial. The simulated yearly consumption of energy for the proposed system is \$5,968,853. The DOAS with Chilled Beams was \$2,634,160 more than the initial cost of the existing system, but saves \$2,769,398 per year. With this proposed system, the payback would be less than one year for this building.

Conclusions

The increasing fuel cost is important to any building owner, which reflects on how much money they have to spend. Initial costs are usually the selling point in which system is to be chosen, but it is wise to compute yearly costs and paybacks to see which system is more efficient on cost basis. As seen above, the initial cost of the DOAS with Chilled Beams is 47% more than that of the existing CAV/VAV system. By simulating building conditions and performance, its found that the proposed re-design will pay for itself in just one year, and continue savings for the buildings lifetime.

Lighting loads are usually the greatest load in building. With Standard 90.1, they use a cap of 1.1W/sq. ft. in design. With the existing building, many spaces were well above this figure. After analysis, it was found that using all T5 lamps in the building would save 20% in energy consumption, thus reducing mechanical loads and yearly energy costs.

The construction impact was insignificant since reducing sizes in equipment, this was time was made up by implementing more pumps for the chilled beam CW loop. The only drawback would be finding contractors educated in this field of systems, and not having to pay an extra premium since system in unfamiliar here in the U.S.

IDC Architects designed mechanical system is sufficient. This proposed redesign is an applicable option to the one that already exists. There are many ways to save energy and reduce costs, but most owners are unaware. Awareness of energy use and fossil fuel consumption will allow utilization of new technology and have less impact on the environment.

This senior thesis represents paths of design. Technology updates daily, and it is important that engineers continue their learning beyond the books and educate others along the way. With fossil fuels diminishing, owners need to be aware of energy saving systems. Planning for the future will help ensure a safe environment for our children, along with allowing them to plan for their children and thus keeps the cycle going.

References:

- American Society of Heating Air Conditioning and Refrigeration, ed. Handbook of Fundamentals. 2005.
- American Society of Heating, Air Conditioning and Refrigeration. Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential. By ASHRAE, ANSI, and IESNA. Ed. ASHRAE Standard Standing Committee.
- Anderson, David. Technical Assignment #1: ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2004 Ventilation Compliance Evaluation Report. October 5, 2006.
- Anderson, David. Technical Assignment #2: Building and Plant Energy Analysis Report. October 27, 2006.
- Anderson, David. *Technical Assignment #3: Existing Conditions Evaluation* November 21, 2006.
- ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1-2004—Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings. ASHRAE, Inc. Atlanta, GA. 2004.
- ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2004—Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality. ASHRAE, Inc. Atlanta, GA. 2004
- Carrier Corporation. "Hourly Analysis Program v.4.20a." 2004.
- Clemson University AMRL—plans and schedules. Construction Issue Set.
- "Dedicated Outdoor Air Systems (DOAS)." The Pennsylvania State University. January 18, 2007. http://doas-radiant.psu.edu/.
- "Electric Service Rate." Duke Power. October 24, 2006. <<u>http://www.dukepower.com/</u>>.
- Holophane-Leading in Lighting Solutions. "Controlume Series."Febuary 7, 2007. < <u>http://www.holophane.com</u> >
- Mumma, Stanley A, PhD, P.E. "Dedicated Outdoor Air System (DOAS)." Dedicated Outdoor Air Systems. January 18, 2007 < <u>http://doas-radiant.psu.edu/doas.html</u> >.
- Mumma, Stanley A, PhD, P.E., and Christopher Conroy. "Ceiling Radiant Cooling Panels as a Viable Distributed Parallel Sensible Cooling Technology Integrated with Dedicated Outdoor Air Systems." ASHRAE Transactions 2001, Vol. 107 Pt. 1 578-585). January 18, 2007. < <u>http://doas-radiant.psu.edu/papers</u> >.

- Mumma, Stanely A., Ph.D., P.E. "Ceiling Panel Cooling Systems" <u>ASHRAE</u> <u>JOURNAL.</u> 2001. < <u>www.ashrae.org</u> >
- "Natural Gas Service Rate." Piedmont Natural Gas. October 24, 2006. < <u>http://www.piedmontng.com/</u>>.
- Penn State Architectural Engineering. Rev. of ePortfolio Archives. Architectural Engineering. Dept. home page. 2005. The Pennsylvania State University. January 17, 2007. < <u>http://www.arche.psu.edu/thesis</u> >.
- Rumsey, Peter, P.E. "Chilled Beams in Labs- Eliminating Reheat & Saving Energy on a Budget." <u>ASHRAE JOURNAL.</u> January 2007: 18-25.
- Thomas, Justin. "Chilled Beams are Cool." January 19, 2007. < <u>http://www.treehugger.com/files/2005/11/chilled_beams_f.php</u> >
- Trox Technik. "Active Chilled Beams." January 18, 2007. <<u>http://www.troxusa.com/usa/products/air_water_systems/active_chilled_beams/i</u> <u>ndex.php</u> >
- Xetex. "Energy Recovery." January 22. <<u>http://www.xetexinc.com/energy_recovery/index.html</u>>

Appendix A: Design Conditions and Calculate Vot

	Design	Design	Calculated
	SA	OA	
AHU #	(cfm)	(cfm)	Vot (cfm)
1	4000	1800	324
2	4500	1800	257
3	5700	1800	462
4	5200	1800	317
5	6300	6300	1784
6	5800	5550	1784
7	5000	400	399
8	10600	1050	737
9	11300	3350	1167
10	7000	800	561
11	7100	550	600
12	3100	2550	276
13	1600	1100	365
14	1000	300	275
15	6800	2000	253

Appendix B: MAH Flow Rate

Unit	CFM
MAH-1	19,525
MAH-2	20,400
MAH-3	20,600
MAH-4	19,150



Appendix C: Air Side Schematic For a Sample of 4 AHU's



Appendix D: Hot Water Schematic





Appendix F: Q latent Calculations

			Q lat
AHU	# People	kw/person	(kw)
1	20	0.0586	1.172
2	12	0.0586	0.7032
3	23	0.0586	1.3478
4	20	0.0586	1.172
5	104	0.0586	6.0944
6	104	0.0586	6.0944
7	17	0.0586	0.9962
8	74	0.0586	4.3364
9	23	0.0586	1.3478
10	45	0.0586	2.637
11	44	0.0586	2.5784
12	6	0.0586	0.3516
13	5	0.0586	0.293
14	14	0.0586	0.8204
15	3	0.0586	0.1758
			30.1204
	514	0.0586	30.1204

Appendix G:	Table 2-Information	Pertinent to the	CRCP	Cooling	Selection
11					

TABLE 2 Information Pertinent to the CRCP Cooling Selection						
Column 1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Room design DBT °F (°C)	Room design % RH	Room design DPT °F (°C)	DOAS supply DPT with 20 scfm/person °F (°C)	Panel t _{fi} room DPT+3°F °F (°C)	Mean panel temp. assuming t _{fi} +5°F °F (°C)	Q _s , Btu/h·ft ² (W/m ²)
72 (22)	40	46 (8)	37 (3)	49 (9)	54 (12)	30 (95)
72 (22)	60	57 (14)	51 (11)	60 (16)	65 (18)	10 (32)
78 (26)	40	52 (11)	44 (7)	55 (13)	60 (16)	30 (95)
78 (26)	60	63 (17)	58 (14)	66 (19)	71 (22)	10 (32)

Lighting Power Density					
Building Area Type ^a	(W/ft2)				
Automotive Facility	0.9				
Convention Center	1.2				
Court House	1.2				
Dining: Bar Lounge/Leisure	1.3				
Dining: Cafeteria/Fast Food	1.4				
Dining: Family	1.6				
Dormitory	1.0				
Exercise Center	1.0				
Gymnasium	1.1				
Health Care-Clinic	1.0				
Hospital	1.2				
Hotel	1.0				
Library	1.3				
Manufacturing Facility	1.3				
Motel	1.0				
Motion Picture Theater	1.2				
Multi-Family	0.7				
Museum	1.1				
Office	1.0				
Parking Garage	0.3				
Penitentiary	1.0				
Performing Arts Theater	1.6				
Police/Fire Station	1.0				
Post Office	1.1				
Religious Building	1.3				
Retail	1.5				
School/University	1.2				
Sports Arena	1.1				
Town Hall	1.1				
Transportation	1.0				
Warehouse	0.8				
Workshop	1.4				

Appendix H: Table 9.5.1 Lighting Power Densities Using the Building Area Method

Appendix I: First Cost Example of DOAS vs. VAV

Cost item	Unit Cost	Units VAV	Units Panel- Cooling/DOAS	Cost Savings \$
Chiller	\$1,000/ton (\$284/kW)	506 ton (1780 kW)	306 ton (1076 kW)	200,000
Chilled Water Pump	\$25/gpm (\$400/L/s)	1215 gpm (76.5 L/s)	737 gpm (46.4 L/s)	11,950
Ductwork	\$1/ ft² (\$11/m²) DOAS \$4/ ft² (\$43/m²) VAV	186,000 ft² (17 300 m²)	186,000 ft² (17 300 m²)	558,000
AHU	\$2/cfm (\$4.25/L/s) VAV \$4/cfm (\$8.50/L/s) DOAS	135,000 cfm (73 720 L/s)	25,000 cfm (11 800 L/s) 100% Ventilation Air	170,000
Electrical Service	\$50/kW	630 kW	372 kW	12,400
Facade/Partitions	\$35/ft² (\$376/m²) of Facade	No Depth Reduction	1 ft (0.3 m) Plenum Depth/Floor or 4308 ft² (400 m²)	150,780
Integrated Thermal and Fire Suppression Piping	\$0.65/ft² (\$7/m²) Savings	N/A	186,000 ft² (17 300 m²)	120,900
Drop Ceiling	\$1.50/ft² (\$16/m²)	N/A	79,200 ft² (7365 m²)	118,800
Mechanical Shaft Impact on Lost Rental Space	\$125/ ft² (\$1,344/m²)	N/A	500 ft² (47 m²) Saved	62,500
Savings Subtotal				1,405,300
Panel	\$13/ ft² (\$140/m²) of Panel	N/A	79,200 ft² (7365 m²)	-1,029,600
Net Savings				375,700 or 2/ft ² (22/m ²)

Table 1: First cost comparison of the panel-cooling/DOAS vs. a conventional all-air VAV system serving a six-story 186,000 ft² (17 300 m²) building in Philadelphia.

Appendix J: Cut Sheets for Enthalpy Wheel



AlRotor

General

The AIRotor heat recovery unit is a rotary heat exchanger which operates on the air-to-air principle of heat transfer and has the following features:

 Available in 16 sizes, with a nominal flow range

of 500-28,000 cfm.

- Total energy recovery efficiencies as high as 90%.
- Rotor has smooth air channels to ensure a low pressure drop and reduce the risk of fouling.

 Rotor surface is manufactured absolutely smooth

allowing for tight fitting seals between airstreams.

- Available with electronic speed control for variable rotor capacity.
- Hygroscopic rotor provides latent and sensible heat recovery.

Design

The RVA heat recovery unit is constructed from a rigid tubular steel welded frame, with insulated galvanized sheet metal cover plates and hatches. The frame is reinforced to prevent deflect of the rotor from static pressure drops to less than 0.03".

The rotor is assembled from alternate layers of flat and corrugated thin sheet aluminum. The smooth channels formed by this construction ensure that the air flow is laminar, thereby ensuring that the pressure drop is low and minimizing the risk of fouling by dirt or dust. Dry particles up to 900 microns shall pass freely through the rotor without clogging the media. The rotor media can be cleaned with low temperature steam without degrading unit performance.

The hygroscopic rotor equally transfers both sensible and latent heat. Moisture is transferred between airstreams in the vapor stage so media remains dry and no drain pan is required.





The rotor, which may be removed from the frame, is mounted in sealed permanently-lubricated spherical ball bearings. The bearings can be serviced or replaced without removing the rotor from the case.

The exchanger is sealed with brush seals between airstreams and around the perimetor of the rotor. Because of the the smooth rotor surface, the brush seals provides an extremely effective seal with very little contact pressure, resulting in extended service life.

An adjustable purging sector is provided to ensure continuous cleaning of the rotor and to virtually eliminate cross-contamination between the exhaust air the supply air.

The standard AIRotor heat recovery unit is supplied with a perimeter self adjusting drive belt and worm gear drive for on/off operation.

For installations where there is a requirement for controlling heat recovery capacity and/or rotor frost control, the heat recovery unit is equipped with an electronic control unit that varies rotor speed from maximum speed down to an automatic purge cycle of 1/20 rpm.

Specifications and dimensions are subject to change without notice.





AIRotor . TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS Dimensions and Weights D Inspection Cover

4

MODEL &		DIMENSIONS					
MODEL #	Α	B	С	D	E	F	(lbs)
RVB-0600	24.43	31.00	14.25	18.90	14.10	0.70	90
RVB-0700	31.00	31.00	14.25	23.23	14.10	0.70	155
RVB-0950	36.00	36.00	14.25	29.13	16.60	0.70	190
RVB-1100	43.60	43.60	14.25	37.50	20.40	0.70	265
RVC-1300	53.54	54.72	14.96	46.46	22.25	1.58	350
RVC-1600	62.05	63.23	14.96	57.09	27.00	1.58	440
RVC-1900	76.77	77.96	14.96	70.87	32.10	1.58	640
RVC-2100	85.04	86.22	14.96	78.35	37.00	1.58	735
RVA-2250	88.58	88.58	17.32	81.10	40.75	2.36	990
RVA-2500	98.74	98.74	17.32	90.94	45.67	2.36	1035
RVA-2750	108.58	108.58	17.32	100.79	50.59	2.36	1210
RVA-3000	118.43	118.43	17.32	110.63	55.51	2.36	1365

AlRotor Drive System

downtime in the event of belt failure.

AIRotors are driven with a belt around the perimeter

of the rotor. An AC gear reduced motor with perma-

nently sealed bearings is easily serviced through an

access panel in the corner of the wheel housing. A

spare belt can be provided with each wheel to reduce

Constant Speed Drive The AIR otor constant speed drive is

provided with On/Off dry contacts for control by a thermostat or building control system. An optional speed detector is available which closed a normally open contact when wheel stops turning for over 20 minutes.

Electronic Speed Control

The AIRotor Electronic speed control consists of a motor control center and drive motor. The control center incorporates functions for purging, speed detection, motor protection and alarm. For speed control the control center is built to receive 0-10 VDC or 4-20 mA input from temperature controller.

Frost, Economizer, & Summer/ Winter Changeover Control

The AIRotor can be supplied with built-in temperature controller that automatically modulates rotor speed to prevent frost build-up, reduce heat recovery to prevent overheating space (economizer), and switch to maximum recovery during the summer (W/S Changeover). AIRotor is supply with integral control panel, digital temperature readout, and four remote mounted temperature sensors.

Specifications and dimensions are subject to change without notice





AlRotor .

PURGING AND LEAKAGE AIRFLOW

In rotary heat exchangers a certain amount of leakage inevitably takes place, in both directions, between the supply air and exhaust air sides, the leakage air being transferred by the rotor.

The purging sector is used to clean the rotor to eliminated leakage from the exhaust air to the supply air side. A detail of the purge sector is shown



Purge Sector Detail

below.

When installing a unit provided with a purging sector, the fans should be located so that P1 > P4 and

P2>P3, as shown in the figure below. If required,



Purge Schematic

an adjusting damper may be used to obtain the required pressure balance.

The chart below shows the leakage flow throught the purging sector. Allowance for high differential pressures should be made when selecting the fan.





GENERAL SPECIFICATION

ROTARY AIR-TO-AIR HEAT EXCHANGER

Furnish an "AIRotor" rotary air-to-air heat exchanger manufactured by XeteX, Inc. Exchanger shall include hygroscopic rotor, constant or variable speed drive, rotation detector with alarm connection, and speed controller with temperature sensors.

ENTHALPY RECOVERY WHEEL

Exchanger shall be constructed of alternate Layers of corrugated and flat aluminum sheet material. Both sides of the exchanger shall be completely smooth with less then 0.005" variation between alternate layers to allow for optimum sealing surface for brush seals. The rotor shall have smooth air channels to ensure laminar airflow for low pressure drops. Dry particles up to 900 microns shall pass freely through the rotor without clogging the media. The rotor media shall be capable of being cleaned with low temperature steam without degrading unit performance. The rotor media must be made of aluminum which is coated to prohibit corrosion. All surfaces shall be coated with a nonmigrating adsorbent specifically developed for the selective transfer of water vapor.

 Verification in writing must be presented from independent laboratory evaluations confirming that the desiccant adsorbent surface does freely transmit water vapor without detectable gaseous cross-contamination. Specially formulated aluminum compound of "Micro-Sieve" shall permanently bond the selective adsorbent desiccant to the hygroscopic (enthalpy) recovery AIRotor by XeteX.

* Sensible and latent recovery efficiencies must be clearly documented through a certification program conducted in accordance with ASHRAE 84-1991 and ARI 1060 standards that verify actual performance to be independent phenomena and there is no reason to expect that ...(efficiencies)... will be equal. Performance is derived by assuming equal sensible and latent recovery effectiveness.

UNIT HOUSING

The rotor housing shall be constructed using a heavy duty welded tubular steel frame (rotors under 42" shall have a heavy duty galvanized frame) with galvanized sheet metal cover plates and inspection hatches. Adjustable brush seals must be provided along the periphery of the rotor and between the inlet and outlet air passages to effectively prevent air leakage and cross-contamination between airflows. Total airflow between airstreams from leakage and purge shall be less than 10% @ 2.5"w.g. differential pressure between airflows. Rotor and casing shall be reinforced to prevent deflection from differential pressures to less than .03 inches. All rotors shall be mounted on sealed permanently-lubricated spherical bearings. All rotors over 42" in diameter must have flanged or pillow block bearings that can be serviced or replaced without removal of the rotor from the rotor housing.

PURGE SECTOR

The unit must be provided with a factory set, field adjustable purge sector designed to limit cross contamination at qualified appropriate design conditions to operate at less than .04 percent of that of the exhaust air stream concentration. Independent laboratory evaluations must indicate purge sector configurations, rotor construction, gasses, air pressure differentials, rotor speeds and other phenomena that constitute "appropriate design conditions" required to limit cross-contamination and air leakage.

DRIVE SYSTEM/SPEED CONTROL

The rotor drive system shall consist of a self adjusting belt around the rotor perimeter driven by an AC motor with gear reduction. The variable speed drive shall be specifically designed for heat wheel applications to include: an AC inverter, soft start/stop, rotation detection w/alarm contacts, automatic self cleaning jog cycle, and self testing capability. The speed controller shall be capable of accepting any control signal (potentiometer, VDC, and mA).

AUTOMATIC TEMPERATURE CONTROL

The temperature control system shall consist of an integral control panel with remote temperature sensors mounted in each of the four airstreams to monitor exchanger performance. The control shall modulate rotor speed to (1) prevent frost build-up, (2) reduce heat recovery for economizer mode, (3) switch to maximum heat recovery when outdoor temperature is higher than indoor temperature. A rotation detector/alarm shall be built into control panel with contactor provided for connection building control system.

* Refer to independent performance tests of XeteX AIRotor Total Energy Recovery Wheels conducted, evaluated and verified for the specified characteristics by research assistants from the Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis. Detailed Technical Reports that certify Thermal Effectiveness and Cross-Contamination performance are available on request.



XeteX Inc. 3530 East 28th Street Minneapolis, MN 55406 (612) 724-3101 (612) 724-3372 Fax

Form 2.2E04 01 Printed in USA

Appendix K: Cut Sheets for Chilled Beams



Description · Construction

Description · Construction	_
Construction · Dimensions	
Casing arrangements	_
Assembly	
Installation	
Control components	
Nomenclature	_
Performance overview L _N 1200, 1500,1800	- 6
Performance overview Lx 2100, 2400, 2700, 3000	

Technical data L _v = 1200	
Technical data L _N = 1500	
Technical data L _N = 1800	
Technical data L _N = 2100	
Technical data L _N = 2400	
Technical data L _N = 2700	
Technical data L _N = 3000	
Order detaile	

Description

Active chiled beams type DID300 use a combination of air and water systems. They combine the air flow characteristics of ceiling diffusers with the energy benefits

characteristics of cering dirfusers with the energy benefits of load dissipation using water. The primary volume flow required for fresh air is supplied

through a duct into which nozzles are fitted.

As a result secondary room air is induced through vertical water coils.

In the mixing section of the type DID300 the conditioned secondary air is mixed with the primary air and discharged into the room via slots. The type DID300 can be used for cooling and/or heating.

Optionally a condensation tray can be provided below each coil. If the room dew point temperature is reached resultant condensation is trapped in the trays. Two drainage spigots are provided with locking caps. If necessary the caps can be removed on site to drain the condensate.

Caution!

In the version without condensation drip trays, the chilled water flow temperature should be selected to prevent it from falling below the room dewpoint.

Construction

The type DID300 is particularly suitable for use in low oeiling void spaces because of its shallow construction. Thus the type DID300 is not only suitable for new buildings but is also ideal for refurbishment projects. When connected appropriately they can be used for individual room control or form grouped zone control. Control components (valves) are available on request.

Despite the small primary air volumes the use of a coil with the energy medium of water gives a disproportionately high cooling and heating performance.

The standard type DID300 is constructed as a 2-pipe or 4-pipe system.

The discharge nozzles in the primary air duct are available in three different sizes, selection depending on volume flow rates.

The induction path grille can be supplied either in a perforated or bar grille format.

Because of the variety of possible ceiling systems, options are available for integration into various ceilings.



244









C	Order Details					
	Specification text	The end of the cas	sing can be fitted v Loose Control co	with optional support angles	1.1	
	internal thermal loads using a combination of air and water	loose.				
	sets of induction nozeles size related to primary air duct fitted with two	The casing, primar	ry air duct and per	forated plate induction grille		
	condensate trays. The induction grile below the coils can be either	frames and end fra The casing as star	ames are made of	extruded aluminium profiles.	1.1	
	heating or cooling operation (2-pipe system) as well as a heating and cooling operation (2-pipe system)	untreated, optional	lly casing and coil	can be dip coated in black		
	In the mixing section of the unit the conditioned secondary air is mixed with the primary air and discharged beingstally (coanda	powder-coated in colour of the BA	pure white (RAL 9	010) or optionally in another		
	effect) into the room via slots.	The discharge naz	zies are made of b	black plastic, the coil of copper be flexible bose available as	r	
	lugs.	an accessory is ma	ade of special plas	stic with a stainless steel		
		ancauring				
	Order Code These codes do not need to be completed for standard products.					
			RAL 9016			
	Bar (grile) G 1200 x 1200 Bar (grile) I 500			Casing and coil:		
	(round-hole sheet metal)			Casing and coil:		
	Coils: 1800 2100			G1 dip-coated accordin to RAL 9005	9	
	Four-pipe 4] 1800 x 1900 2100			Casing: G2 dip-coated accordin	q	
	2400 2100 × 2100			to RAL 9005	-	
	2400 2700		Start	te colour		
	Nazzle options B 2400 × 2400 2700	0 Standard finish Entire visible surfaces powder-coated to RAL9010 (GE 60%) 1				
	5 3000 2700 x 2700					
8	(see page 4)		P1 Powder-or	oated to RAL		
GmbH (4/30			(000 SE)	see Page 7!		
	1) GE = Gloss invet					
To	2) for water connection is 12 mmt 3) Version without condensation tray with 3-way valve (diffuent acc) and with self-contained control not possible L _{at} = Nominal length	{ ⁰	without condensation with condensating with condensating with condensating with c	tion trav		
jits reserved & Gebruda	Note:					
	L, dimensions of 1200 3000 mm possible, L _N dimensions only standard lengths.	Accessories: Flex	cible hose (FS12)	(of. page 7)	1	
	L, max. 7 mm shorter than L,	hoth ands	Possible conr	Leogth is more	-	
	Accessories: FS12-A/500 (see table)	FS12-S	FS12-S/U	Cangar in min	-	
AIR	AW = Support angles	FS12-U	FS12-S/A	500, 750, 1000		
-pervector se		FS12-A	FS12-U/A			
		Order From 1	a with south		1	
chang	Manufacture: TROX Order Example with control components Manufacture: TROX					
ufipa	Type: DID:00-G-2-K-MHR/1900x1500/KW/P1/RAL9016/G1	lype: <u>DIDso</u>	0-LR-2-A-MHR/180	0x1500/KW/207/P1/RAL9010/G1	-	
34						

Appendix L: Cut Sheets for Lamp Selection



